

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	!O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,785		12/06/2001	Alan L. Ferguson	01-409	3421
719	7590	08/12/2005		EXAM	INER
	PILLAR I ADAMS S		STEELMAN, MARY J		
PATENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PEORIA, IL 616296490				2191	
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/016,785	FERGUSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mary J. Steelman	2191	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh	•
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN COND	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as fil this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an a places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 following time periods:	amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	he mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding and CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply or above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 iginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tim AMENDMENTS	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or se (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal b appeal; and/or	by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding numb NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached No 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	·
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted the non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appearance. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: /-27.	
Claim(s) rejected 7 27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the data because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ate of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejection showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier.	ns under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a r presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the Applicant has argued, in substance, the following:	e application in condition for allowance because:
(A) As noted on page 2, 3 rd paragraph, of Remarks filed 14 July 2005, there is no sestablish a prima facie case of obviousness.	suggestion or motivation to combine references to
Examiner's Response: In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggest recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modification in the second invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Second in the s	ying the teachings of the prior art to produce the o do so found either in the references themselves e In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. In this case, it would have been obvious, to one of
need to automate the modification of remote computer systems. Cantos dis gather information related to configuration diagnostics, etc (col. 1, lines 34-3	sclosed the need to monitor target systems to

likewise, noted the need to automate the software of remote clients(col. 2, lines 6-12. A work machine provides the location of a remote client. As any remote client, maintaining and updating the controllers in a simple efficient manner enhances the usability. Knowledge in the art, at the time of the invention suggests successful remote updates of software located on client machines, irregardless of the type of client machine involved.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050808

WEI Y. ZHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER